THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE V. ESWARAIAH

WRIT PETITON NO. 7735 OF 1999

DATED: 05-07-2006

BETWEEN:

Smt. Shanta Bai W/o. Narsing Singh
And two others … Petitioners

And
The Secretary, Quli Qutub Shah Urban
Development Authority, Darushafa,
Hyderabad and three others …Respondents

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE V. ESWARAIAH

WRIT PETITON NO. 7735 OF 1999

ORDER:

Petitioners filed this writ petition seeking a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents not to demolish the premises bearing No.13-3-382/2 to 7, admeasuring 516 square yards situated at old Bridge (Puranapool), Hyderabad without issuing notice.

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned counsel for the respondents and perused the material on record.

It is the case of the petitioners that they are the owners of the premises bearing No.13-3-382/2 to 7 admeasuring 516 square yards situated at Old Bridge, Puranapool, Hyderabad. On the apprehension that some of the officers of the respondents came to the premises on 05-03-1999 and 11-03-1999 and told them that they are going to demolish the premises of their tenants without assigning any reason whatsoever, they filed this writ petition.

No specific averments have been made in the petition for what purpose, the said premises is required by the respondents. First respondent is Quli Qutub Shah Urban Development Authority, second

respondent is the District Collector, Hyderabad, third respondent is the Nodal Officer of Quli Qutub Shah Urban Development Authority and the fourth respondent is the Commissioner, Muncipal Corporation of Hyderabad, Tankbund, Hyderabad and which of the respondents wants to occupy the portion of the said area is also not specified by the petitioners.

In that view of the matter, I am of the opinion that the present writ petition has been filed only on the apprehension and without any basis whatsoever.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the writ petition is dismissed with a liberty to the petitioners to approach this Court, as and when the respondents initiate any such action. No order as to costs.

_____

V. ESWARAIAH, J.

Dated: 05-07-2006
RNS